

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/800,008	03/15/2004	Noriya Hayashi	080542-0166	6818		
22428	7590 01/06/2006		EXAMINER			
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			GORR, RA	GORR, RACHEL F		
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1711			

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/800,008	HAYASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summa	ry E	xaminer	Art Unit			
		Rachel F. Gorr	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this cor Period for Reply	nmunication appea	rs on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DAT prisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a is communication. mum statutory period will a or reply will, by statute, ca nonths after the mailing da	E OF THIS COMMUNICAT a). In no event, however, may a reply lapply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS use the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the 	2b)⊠ This addition for allowance	ction is non-final. e except for formal matters,	•	merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to a subj	to. restriction and/or e by the Examiner. s/are: a) accept y objection to the dra	lection requirement. ted or b) objected to by t wing(s) be held in abeyance. is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CF			
11) The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Exan	niner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Remains of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Remains of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO	l-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/800,008 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saunders.

See paper 041905, paragraph 2.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders in view of Sweeney.
- 5. Saunders shows the polymer composition of the claims. On page 770, he teaches including fillers in the polymer. He differs from the claims by not specifying fiber filler or showing a multilayer structure.
- 6. Sweeney shows that, in Table 3-10, fiber fillers improve the properties of polyurethanes.
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use fibers as filler in polyurethanes of Saunders in order to improve the properties. A thicker molding of the urethane would be the same as layering two thinner moldings and joining them.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

8. Applicant's arguments filed 12-1-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants argue that Saunders composition would comprise solvent just as the Elfers reference. Elfers used solvent because he was casting films. In thicker applications, one wouldn't add solvent because it would be too difficult to remove. The Hill reference (3,475,803), which is similar in age to Saunders, states that solvent isn't used when the components are liquid (col. 4, lines 37-39). Furthermore, claims 4-12 don't exclude solvent.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER